

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/816,938	Applicant(s) WANG, BAIYANG	
	Examiner Michael Szperka	Art Unit 1644	

All Participants:

(1) Michael Szperka.

(2) William Coblentz.

Date of interview: 22 April 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

33

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to the changes detailed in the examiner's amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: after final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 4PM

/Michael Szperka, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)